

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Ernest Bernard Hammett

CASE NUMBER

5:22-cv-01698-DSF-MAA

Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s),

v.

McKinney, et al.

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL OR REMAND**

Defendant(s)/Respondent(s).

The Court previously received from ☒ Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s) ☐ Defendant(s)/Respondent(s):

- ☐ an IFP Request with no accompanying Complaint/Petition/Notice of Removal.
- ☒ a Complaint/Petition/Notice of Removal without an accompanying IFP Request or payment of the filing fees.
- ☐ a Complaint/Petition/Notice of Removal with a partial filing fee payment for an amount less than the full fee.

The Court sent a warning letter to ☒ Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s) ☐ Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) advising that failure to correct this deficiency within THIRTY DAYS from the date of the warning letter would result in dismissal or remand of this case. More than THIRTY DAYS have now passed, and the deficiency has not been corrected.

Accordingly, this case is HEREBY ORDERED

- ☒ DISMISSED without prejudice.
- ☐ REMANDED to the \_\_\_\_\_.

**Dated:** January 3, 2023



United States District Judge